

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2006) KLR VOL 7 PART 224 pp. 2977 - 3226**

**JULY 2006**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **JULY CONTD.**

16. Adesanoye v. Adewole p. 2977
17. Apugo v. State p. 3021
18. Mbachu v. Anambra-Imo River Basin p. 3051
19. Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073
20. Okoko v. Dakolo p. 3099
21. Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141
22. Shell Petroleum v. X.M. Federal Ltd p. 3171
23. Udo v. State p. 3189
24. Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro p. 3207

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 7 KLR**

APPEALS - Decision - That is not appealed against - Is binding on the appellants - And the parties in general (H1) Shell Petroleum v. X.M. Federal Ltd p. 3171

APPEALS - Grounds of - Competency of - Grounds of appeal herein - Are incompetent - Being complaints against findings of trial court - Though couched as if against those of Court of Appeal - Supreme Court therefore lacks jurisdiction - To entertain them (H4) Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141

APPEALS - Grounds of - Issues - Appellant's grounds and issues - Never included dismissal of claim for specific performance - Before the Court of Appeal - So they cannot and they do not - Include that complaint before the Supreme Court (H1) Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141

APPEALS - Grounds of - Question of fact - Nature of - Where question requires exercise of discretion by court - It is a question of fact - Or mixed law and fact - As exercise of discretion - Would necessarily be based on facts (H3) Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro p. 3207

APPEALS - Interlocutory appeals - Right of appeal - Where ground is of fact - Or mixed law and fact - Appeal must be with prior leave - Of either trial court or Court of Appeal - Else it is incompetent - Under ss. 241 and 242 1999 Constitution (H2) Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro p. 3207

APPEALS - Issues - Cross-appeal - Issues in the cross-appeal - Did not depend on the issues in the main appeal - So failure of Court of Appeal - To determine the issues in the cross-appeal - Amounts to denial of fair hearing (H6) Okoko v. Dakolo p. 3099

APPEALS - Issues - Failure to pronounce - On all material issues - Effect - Where it results in miscarriage of justice - Consequential order should be one for retrial - But where issues not considered - Affected a cross-appeal - They should be resolved by appellate court (H7) Okoko v. Dakolo p. 3099

APPEALS - Right of appeal - Fundamental rights - Application for leave to enforce - Decision thereon - Is not a decision under s. 241(d), 1999 Constitution - To entitle respondent - To appeal as of right (H1) *Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro* p. 3207

APPEALS - Specific findings - Challenge on appeal - Appellant challenging specific finding - Must raise specific ground - As it cannot be covered by the omnibus ground - Else he cannot be heard - To question that finding on appeal (H2) *Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd* p. 3141

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Chieftaincy declaration - Registration of - Mere verbal affirmation of registration - Without showing the date - Is not sufficient (H2) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Chieftaincy declarations - Applicable declaration - Is the one in existence - At the time cause of action arose - And not the law in force - At time of invoking court's jurisdiction (H1) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Chieftaincy declarations - The applicable law in this case - Is the 1991 Order - With its retrospective effect (H5) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Locus standi - Being prince of a chieftaincy house per se - Without having right to contest for the Chieftaincy office - Does not confer locus on a party (H7) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Locus standi - Contesting for the Chieftaincy position per se - Does not grant locus - As it is the statement of claim - That donates locus standi (H6) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

CONTRACTS - Breach - Contract of employment - Of appellant was never static - But subject to continued variation in its terms - Allegation of

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 7 KLR**

breach - Was therefore a misconception (H1) Mbachu v. Anambra-Imo River Basin p. 3051

COURTS - Issues - Duty of court - To pronounce thereon - Where preliminary objection succeeds - Court is not under a duty to consider arguments - On other issues for determination (H4) Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro p. 3207

COURTS - Murder - Findings of fact - And the judgment of Court of Appeal - As cause of death was not proved - To be traceable to appellant's act - And it was found as fact - That he had no intention to kill - Conviction for manslaughter is not supportable (H1) Apugo v. State p. 3021

COURTS - Murder - Orders - Propriety of - Where cause of death - Is not traced to act of accused - In a charge of murder - Proper order to make - Is for discharge and acquittal (H2) Apugo v. State p. 3021

COURTS - Proceedings - Recording of - Court is not to record every little detail - But the relevant proceedings - That will ensure just determination of a case (H2) Udo v. State p. 3189

CRIMINAL LAW - Defences - Self defence - On the facts as found by trial court - Self defence plea availed appellant - As held by the Court of Appeal - Consequently that court was in error - Not to have discharged and acquitted him (H3) Apugo v. State p. 3021

CRIMINAL LAW - Manslaughter - Proof - Burden of - Once commission of crime is in issue - It must be proved beyond reasonable doubt -It is the duty of the prosecutor - To adduce evidence to prove manslaughter - And he has failed to do so (H4) Apugo v. State p. 3021

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Requirements of a valid arraignment - Which include satisfactory explanation of the charge - Was complied with - Though court used the word appears (H1) Udo v. State

p. 3189

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Burden of proof - Never shifts from the prosecution - Save in certain exceptional cases like insanity (H7) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Duty of court - Where proof beyond reasonable doubt - Was not established - Conviction shall be set aside (H8) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Feasibility of - Story of appellant that was not feasible - And his failure to call a witness - Made plea of self defence baseless (H4) Udo v. State p. 3189

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Evidence - Of co-accused or accomplices - Can solely be relied upon - In convicting for murder without corroboration - Provided court exercises caution (H3) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Circumstantial evidence - Self defence - Inconsistency in appellant's testimony - Goes to establish the charge against him (H5) Udo v. State p. 3189

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Common intention - Was wrongly inferred by lower courts - As there was no evidence in support - Need for court to be cautious (H6) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Joint participation - Where established - What each accused did in furtherance of the crime - Is immaterial (H5) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Proof - Witnesses - That prosecution did not call a particular witness - Did not leave any vacuum - As case was proved beyond reasonable doubt (H3) Udo v. State p. 3189

**vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 7 KLR**

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Witnesses - Inducement of murder - First statement of some prosecution witnesses - Were rightly found by lower courts - To be induced (H1) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

DAMAGES - Award of - Where breach of contract is not proved - Once it is found by a trial court - That there was no breach of contract - There is no ground for an award of damages - Of whatever quantum (H2) Mbachu v. Anambra-Imo River Basin p. 3051

DAMAGES - General damages - Quantum of - Though in awarding general damages - Courts have discretion in assessing the quantum - Such exercise however must be related to the evidence - In the instant case - Amount awarded is manifestly too low (H8) Okoko v. Dakolo p. 3099

DOCUMENTS - Registration - Date - Chieftaincy declaration - A document of registration - Should include the date of registration (H2) Adesanoye v. Adewole p. 2977

EQUITY - Doctrines - Rule in Walsh v. Lonsdale - An intended lessee - Is treated as actual lessee - Only where the intended lessee is entitled to specific performance - This cannot be said of the instant case - In view of the finding by trial court (H3) Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141

ESTOPPEL - Motions - Trial Court's earlier decision - Operated as an estoppel to bar appellants - From making another later similar application (H2) Shell Petroleum v. X.M. Federal Ltd p. 3171

EVIDENCE - Contradictions - Murder - Where evidence materially contradicts earlier statement to the police - The witnesses should be regarded as unreliable - Save the contradiction is satisfactorily explained (H2) Nwankwoala v. State p. 3073

EVIDENCE - Contradictions - Testimony of witnesses - There are material contradictions - In testimonies of defence witnesses - To justify

trial judge's refusal - To apply the rule in *Kojo v. Bonsie* (H3) *Okoko v. Dakolo* p. 3099

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Rule in *Kojo v. Bonsie* - For the rule to apply - There must be evidence of traditional history - From both parties - Equally persuasive - Such that the Court cannot justifiably - Prefer one to the other (H2) *Okoko v. Dakolo* p. 3099

EVIDENCE - Proof - Facts not pleaded - Evidence on facts not pleaded - Go to no issue and should be discountenanced - Court of Appeal was therefore wrong - To have relied on evidence of facts not pleaded (H1) *Okoko v. Dakolo* p. 3099

LAND LAW - Title - Proof of exclusive possession ss. 46 & 146 E A - Once plaintiff proves title by traditional history - Lawful and exclusive possession - Is presumed in his favour - And ss. 46 & 146 E A - Cannot operate against him (H5) *Okoko v. Dakolo* p. 3099

LAND LAW - Title - Traditional histories - It is not in every case of conflict therein - That the court resorts to recent acts - It first tests each history - Against other evidence adduced before it (H4) *Okoko v. Dakolo* p. 3099

LEGISLATION - Revocation - Where a subsequent legislation - Revokes an earlier one - Courts lack jurisdiction - To still rely on the revoked legislation - It is the Legislature's function to make laws - Vide doctrine of separation of powers (H4) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

MURDER - Proof - Consistent evidence - Accepted by trial court and confirmed by Court of Appeal - As to 1st appellant's guilt - Will not be disturbed (H4) *Nwankwoala v. State* p. 3073

STATUTES - Compliance - Clear provision - That a particular act be performed - A party's failure to perform that act - Will work against him (H3) *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 2977

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; s. 213 Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 s. 36(6) Udo v. State p. 3189

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999; ss. 46, 241 and 242 Union Bank of Nig. Plc v. Sogunro p. 3207

Criminal Code of Eastern Nigeria, 1963, ss. 32(3), 286, 308, 315 and 317 Apugo v. State p. 3021

Criminal Code s. 319(1) Udo v. State p. 3189

Criminal Procedure Law of Cross River State s. 215 Udo v. State p. 3189

Evidence Act, L.F.N. 1990; ss. 46 and 146 Okoko v. Dakolo p. 3099

Evidence Act, s. 138(1) Apugo v. State p. 3021

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1976 O. 31 r. 1 Shell Petroleum v. X.M. Federal Ltd p. 3171

Land Use Act, LFN, 1990; ss. 30 and 34 Opara v. Dowel Schlumberger Ltd p. 3141